Date: November 25, 2008

### Memorandum

To:

Department of Finance Attn: Kathryn Amann 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814

From:

Department of Technology Services

Subject: Revised FY 2008/09 Billing Rates Package

The Department of Technology Services (DTS) is pleased to announce additional proposed billing rate changes for FY 2008/09. This continues the DTS' rate adjustments resulting from consolidating DTS service offerings. The first was announced on July 1, 2006, and DTS rates were reduced by approximately \$16.3 million. The second adjustment addressed additional service areas and provided further decreases to rates, in the amount of annual cost savings to DTS customers of \$26.7 million. The third rate adjustment was for FY 2007/08 as well as FY 2008/09 and carried a neutral overall revenue change. The overall goals of the current rate adjustments are to:

- Consolidate rates where there are common or similar services at both DTS data center facilities;
- Eliminate rates, where possible, in an effort to simplify the cost-recovery model for DTS customers; and
- Bring each service to, or as close to, breakeven as possible.

DTS has achieved most of these goals. DTS will provide a separate memorandum outlining areas for future rate adjustments in FY 2009/10 which includes an analysis of the FY 2007/08 and Projected FY 2008/09 revenues and expenses. Recommendations for rate changes will be included in this memorandum to address the DTS under-recovery.

The following is a summary of key changes, with effective dates noted:

- COEMS Services: DTS has revised the COEMS rate calculations based on current FY 08/09 lease costs, utility costs and total square footage. Revised raised floor space calculated to \$17.00 per square foot and revised office space calculated to \$4.00 per square foot. The projected net impact of these changes will be an increase to DTS' COEMS revenue of 116% or an additional revenue collection of \$77,981per month. The proposed effective date for this change is January 1, 2009. DTS will revise COEMS rates in the future when a second data center is acquired and if square footage costs significantly change.
- Sharing DTS Online Open Exams Lists: DTS has decided to eliminate Online exams from the rate package material. State Personnel Board (SPB) will be the owner or Online Open exams and will administer the online Internet exam system.

- Print Services: The DTS is proposing to adjust the rates for print output services.
   Outsourced vendor contract costs are continuing to increase, while DTS has not
   adjusted the rates for Print services since 1997. DTS proposes to increase the rates in
   "steps" to mitigate the impact to customers. Also the current outsourced contract is a
   one year term. DTS is also looking at other alternatives of electronic methods of data
   retention and recovery for customers. The proposed effective dates for these changes
   are January 1, 2009 and July 1, 2009.
- Enhancements to the Statewide E-mail Service Offering: The E-hub enhancement for Statewide E-mail will be submitted under separate cover through DTS' New Services Methodology. The Business Plans for Statewide E-mail enhancements E-fax and Encryption are attached for your review.

When the COEMS and Print rate changes are implemented, the resulting impact to revenue will be an increase of \$1,006,742 to DTS revenue in FY 2008/2009, and \$2,717,357 in FY 2009/2010, assuming the two-step print rate increases are implemented in both fiscal years.

Please refer to the attached service area rate methodology descriptions and cost analysis spreadsheets for more detail regarding each of these rate changes.

If you should have any questions, please contact Colleen Field, Manager, Rates and Cost Recovery at (916) 739-7904 or by email at <a href="mailto:colleen.field@dts.ca.gov">colleen.field@dts.ca.gov</a>.

Melissa N. Matsuura

Acting Deputy Director, Administration Division

Department of Technology Services

**Attachments** 

## RATE PACKAGE #4 Customer Impact for FY 08/09 \*Effective January 2009 (6 months)

Agency Code	Customer	Monthly	Monthly Print	orint 6 Month Difference
الد	CalTrans	\$42,322		\$10,989
H	Dept of Health Care Services	\$17,686		\$21,549
BP	Dept of Social Services			\$18,395
CS	DOF, CalSTARS			\$17,399
H	Highway Patrol			\$7,459
DS	Dept of Developmental Services			\$5,614
<b>√</b> 2	ISAWS - Dept of Social Services	\$4,056		
SB	University of CA - SF Medical Center	\$3,920		
SA	Student Aid	\$2,450		
RB	California Horse Racing Board			\$1,884
ĭ.	Dept of Mental Health	\$530		\$915
OA	Dept of Alcohol Drug Programs			\$1,342
GS	Dept of General Services			\$1,154
TS	CALSTRS	\$980		\$57
PR	Parks & Recreation	\$840		\$45
AB	Alcoholic Beverage Control	\$190		\$650
R	Industrial Relations	\$680		\$1
CR	Dept of Corrections & Rehabilitation			\$568
ED	Dept of Education	\$490		\$64
	Public Utilities Commission	\$530		\$10
	Secretary of State	\$530		
	Dept of Finance	\$530		(\$1)
	Child Support Services	\$520		\$2
	Judicial Council	\$490		
80	University of CA - San Francisco	\$490		
	Dept of Rehabilitation			\$463
	Dept of Social Services - EBT	\$367		
	Dept of Consumer Affairs			\$326
	Prison Industry Authority			\$249
	Air Resources Board	\$190		
	City of Livermore	\$190		
	Board of Parole Hearings			\$114
	CalPERS			\$97
	Ca Medical Assistance	n.		\$86
	San Jose State			670

# RATE PACKAGE #4 Customer Impact for FY 08/09 \*Effective January 2009 (6 months)

\$1 006 7 <i>4</i> 2	\$89.809	\$77.981	TOTALS	
(\$18)	(\$3)		State Treasurers Office	C
(\$6)	(\$1)		Food and Agriculture	FA
(\$6)	(\$1)		Board of Behaviorial Sciences	뫔
(\$6)	(\$1)		Bureau of State Audits	P
(\$6)	(\$1)		Bureau of Auto Repairs	AF
(\$6)	(\$1)		State Controller's Office	ŏ
(\$6)	(\$1)		Arts Council	AC
(\$6)	(\$1)		State Personnel Board	PB
\$6	\$1		Physical Therapy Examining Commission	¥
\$6	\$1		Stanislaus State	Ę
\$6	\$2		Board for Registered Professional Engineers	EZ
\$6	\$1		Victims Compensation and Gvt Claims	BC
\$6	\$1		Dept of Fish & Game	FG
\$6	\$1		Board of Funeral Directors	FD
\$6	\$1		County of Sacramento	6
\$12	\$2		EDD	E
\$18	\$3		Veterans Affairs	A
\$18	\$3		CA State Lottery	5
\$18	\$3		Energy Resources Conservation & Development	FR
\$18	\$3		Child Support Services - CASES	<b>V4</b>
\$24	\$4		Cal Poly University	K
\$24	\$4		Board of Acountancy	AK
\$36	\$6		Dept of Motor Vehicles	₹
\$48	\$8		Humboldt State	呈
\$90	\$15		Emergency Medical Services Auth	EA
\$108	\$18		Board of Barbering and Cosmetology	묫
\$144	\$24		Dept of Employment Development	EX
\$216	\$36		Housing & Community Development	G
\$228	\$38		California Community Colleges	69
\$336	\$56		Board of Equalization	EQ
\$474	\$79		Dept. of Public Health	H
6 Month Difference	Monthly Print	COEMS	Customer	Code
		TT AL II.		

## RATE PACKAGE #4 Customer Impact for FY 09/10 \*12 Months Total

PG	LV	AR	Ξ	В8	8	СВ	CN	SS	I	UC	ED	R	밀	₻	CA	PR	ST	CR	OA	¥	GS	SA	AB	RB	SB	<b>\$</b> 2	DS	푹	CS	묭	H	TR	Agency Code
Board of Parole Hearings	City of Livermore	Air Resources Board	Dept. of Public Health	Dept of Social Services - EBT	University of CA - San Francisco	Judicial Council	Child Support Services	Secretary of State	Dept of Finance	Public Utilities Commission	Dept of Education	Dept of Rehabilitation	Prison Industry Authority	Industrial Relations	Dept of Consumer Affairs	Parks & Recreation	CALSTRS	Dept of Corrections & Rehabilitation	Dept of Alcohol Drug Programs	Dept of Mental Health	Dept of General Services	Student Aid	Alcoholic Beverage Control	California Horse Racing Board	University of CA - SF Medical Center	ISAWS - Dept of Social Services	Dept of Developmental Services	Highway Patrol	DOF, CalSTARS	Dept of Social Services	Dept of Health Care Services	CalTrans	Customer
	\$190	\$190		\$367	\$490	\$490	\$520	\$530	\$530	\$530	\$490			\$680		\$840	\$980			\$530		\$2,450	\$190	-	\$3,920	\$4,056					\$17,686	\$42,322	COEMS
\$171			\$223				\$6		\$ <u>4</u>	\$9	\$106	\$638	\$668	\$5	\$733	\$64	\$85	\$1,136	\$1,210	\$824	\$1,636	\$2	\$3,402	\$3,628	,		\$11,799	\$12,730	\$24,674	\$25,537	\$40,970	\$17,463	Monthly Print
\$2,052	\$2,280	\$2,280	\$2,676	\$4,404	\$5,880	\$5,880	\$6,312	\$6,360	\$6,408	\$6,468	\$7,152	\$7,656	\$8,016	\$8,220	\$8,796	\$10,848	\$12,780	\$13,632	\$14,520	\$16,248	\$19,632	\$29,424	\$43,104	\$43,536	\$47,040	\$48,677	\$141,588	\$152,760	\$296,088	\$306,444	\$703,872	\$717,420	Annual Difference

## RATE PACKAGE #4 Customer Impact for FY 09/10 \*12 Months Total

\$45	8		State Controller's Office	CO
\$12	\$1		Bureau of State Audits	AU
. \$12	\$1		Stanislaus State	ſĵ
\$12	\$1		Board for Registered Professional Engineers	EN
\$12	\$1		Victims Compensation and Gvt Claims	BC
\$24	\$2		Physical Therapy Examining Commission	PF
\$24	\$2		Dept. of Food and Agriculture	FA
\$24	\$2		EDD	EU
\$24	\$2		Dept of Fish & Game	FG
\$24	\$2		Arts Council	AC
\$36	\$3		Board of Funeral Directors	FD
\$36	\$3		Veterans Affairs	A
\$36	\$3		Cal Poly University	K
\$48	\$4		CA State Lottery	ГО
\$48	\$4		County of Sacramento	60
\$60	\$5		Board of Behavioral Science	뫄
\$60	\$5		Energy Resources Conservation & Development	FR
\$72	\$6		Bureau of Auto Repair	AF
\$72	\$6		Board of Accountancy	Ą
\$84	\$7		Humboldt State	무
\$96	\$8		Child Support Services - CASES	<b>V4</b>
\$156	\$13		State Personnel Board	PB
\$168	\$14		Emergency Medical Services Auth	EA
\$240	\$20		Board of Barbering and Cosmetology	묫
\$372	\$31		Dept of Motor Vehicles	MV
\$372	\$31		Dept of Employment Development	EM
\$444	\$37		State Treasurers Office	OT
\$672	\$56		Housing & Community Development	CD
\$744	\$62		California Community Colleges	69
\$852	\$71		San Jose State	L
\$948	\$79		Board of Equalization	EQ
\$1,464	\$122		Ca Medical Assistance	욮
\$1,644	\$137		CalPERS	PA
<b>Annual Difference</b>	Monthly Print	COEMS	Customer	Code

# RATE PACKAGE #4 Customer Impact for FY 09/10 \*12 Months Total

\$2,717,357	\$148,464	\$77,981	TOTALS
Annual Difference	Monthly Frint	COEMIS	Cusionici
	Monthly Drint	COLMC	
		Monthly	<b>Ageily</b>

Department of Technology Services Rate Package #4 Fiscal Year 2008/09 Proposed Effective Date: January 2009

Rate Change Detail.

Service Name: Customer Owned Equipment Managed Services (COEMS)

Comp Code: F103 Cost Center: 899

### Issue/Reason for Rate Change:

DTS is proposing to increase the rates for Customer Owned Equipment Managed Services (COEMS). The purpose for this rate change is to recover the actual raised floor space costs and all associated facilities costs. COEMS offers lower cost alternatives and quality efficiencies for customers who do not need fully managed services. Customers are interested in this alternative because it offers customers a secure place to physically house their hardware, as opposed to locating it in their office, warehouse or hallway closet where the potential for fire, theft or vandalism is much greater. COEMS offers high security, including fire detection and suppression, redundant power feeds, filtered power, backup power generators and other items to assure the high availability that is mandatory for web-based, virtual businesses. DTS has received numerous requests for cost estimates to house customer equipment. DTS is experiencing a large volume of COEMS customer requests due to our current lowpriced COEMS rates. Raised Floor space has become very expensive to design and build and the full costs are not reflected in the current DTS published rates; this has created more customer interest in DTS COEMS services to house their equipment. Outside industry COEMS (Colocation) rates vary at start up rates of \$99 per month for a 1U server to \$900 per month for a private full cage. DTS is also proposing a rate for reserving raised floor space for COEMS customers. This will require customers who wish to accept a COEMS cost estimate to then pay to reserve the raised floor space until the equipment is moved onto the DTS raised floor. This gives DTS the ability to manage floor space for customer requests. The COEMS service offering has impacted DTS' ability to manage resources and growth when the floor space is committed long term to dedicated customer usage (i.e., saving of raised floor space for potential COEMS customers who may not materialize).

### Rate Methodology Used to Develop Rates:

The rate for this service is based on the Service Rate Methodology. The Service Rate Methodology involves building a service rate based on the estimated costs for the entire service at a given volume level. The following process was used to determine rates using this methodology:

- 1. Billable Unit: Per COEMS Customer cabinet
- 2. Direct cost components: cabinet, raised floor space costs, utility costs, electrical circuits, DTS staff support, and remote hands (staff time to reset equipment and/or push a button)
- 3. Attributes: annual facility, utility and DTS staff costs were used to calculate the COEMS rates divided by 12 to achieve monthly costs. A three year amortization for the cabinet was used. Included in the COEMS cabinet rate are costs for

- raised floor space. Please note that raised floor space calculated to \$17.00 per sq feet. Office space calculated to \$4.00 per square feet.
- 4. Indirect cost components include: Change Management, Facilities, Help Desk Technical Support, System Security, Network Infrastructure, and Administrative Overhead. These costs were allocated based on established methodologies.
- 5. The sum of the direct and indirect costs represents the cost to provide the service.
- 6. The billable rates are the monthly costs used as the denominator in the formula below to be able to recover the cost of the service.

## RATE per Billable Unit = Cost of Service Volume of Billable Units

### Summary/Description of Service Offering:

The primary benefit of the COEMS service is to provide customers a fully redundant, highly secure facility to physically house their IT equipment. Customer equipment is housed in a 2' x 3' secure cabinet. Customers pay a monthly rate for the cabinet - either DTS owned or Customer owned and provided, which occupies approximately 25 square feet of floor space. Customers whose equipment exceeds the 2' x 3' cabinet space will be charged an additional \$17 per square foot for extra floor space that exceeds the allotted 2' x 3' space. While relatively simple, the COEMS service can represent a significant improvement in the quality and security of an IT system in comparison to having that same system housed at the customer's facility. Currently many departments deploy equipment in their offices, closets, or warehouses where they are not able to provide adequate data/system support and security. The following is a list of some specific customer benefits to having their system housed at the data center:

- Located in a Seismically-Neutral Area Outside the 500-Year Flood Plain
- Cost Savings and Quality Efficiencies for customers who do not need fully managed services
- Backup Support for Customer Systems, Applications and Data
- Offsite Storage Services
- Physically Secure Location for Equipment and Work Areas for Customers
- Secure State-of-the-Art Facility and Computer Room
- Redundant UPS and Backup System for power
- High Speed Redundant Network Backbone
- Fire Detection and suppression Systems
- Locking cabinets for server and network equipment
- Customer/vendor access to computer room as needed
- 24x7 Remote Hands Support from Help Desk

Department of Finance (DOF) approved the COEMS service offering and rates in October 2004. DTS has not revised the COEMS rates since that time. DTS is requesting to adjust rates to recover actual raised floor space costs, associated facility costs, DTS staff costs and include a rate for reserving raised floor space.

### **Current Billing Method:**

Customers are currently charged a per cabinet rate plus additional add-on services.

**Proposed COEMS Rates:** 

Service	Proposed Rates	Current Rates	Net Change
DTS Owned Cabinet -	\$830	\$300	177%
Full (per cabinet)			*
DTS Owned Cabinet -	\$0	\$170	
Half			
Customer Owned	\$720	\$230	213%
Cabinet (per cabinet)			
Floor Space	\$545	\$0	100%
Reservation (per		0.0	
cabinet space			
requirements)			
Office Space (per	\$4.00	\$0	100%
square footage)			

### **Customer Impact:**

Please see attached spreadsheet detail by customer. COEMS DTS Owned Cabinet: An increase of 177% COEMS Customer Owned Cabinet: An increase of 213%

### **Revenue Impact**:

These rate changes will increase COEMS revenues by \$77,981 per month.

\$4,056 \$48,677 \$1,026 \$12,312 \$12,127 \$145,523	\$6 <b>4,139</b> (\$6,158)	(\$18,260)	1,00,000		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Taking out SFIS new monthly cost
	9484 484		\$58 001	が、そのないの人の大きの		
	\$12	\$41,060	\$28,933			Total
40	\$1	\$1,440	\$414	2	HD	Health Care Services - ITSD
	\$4	\$18,260	\$14,204	22	5	Social Services ISAWS
4360 es		\$720	\$460		CN	Child Support Services
\$260 \$3,120		\$720	\$460		S.	Child Support Services
\$367 \$4,404		\$1,660	\$1,293	2	B8	CSS/OSI, EBT
\$6,158 \$73,890	\$6	\$18,260	\$12,102	22	B6	CSS - SFIS***
					\$12.93	Currently only paying floor space @ \$12.93
\$72,012 \$864,139	\$72	\$99,080	\$27,068			Total
\$490 \$5,880		\$720	\$230		U8	University of CA - San Francisco
\$530 \$6,360		\$830	\$300		UC	Public Utilities Commission
\$42,322 \$507,859	\$42	\$54,720	\$12,398	76	Ŧ	CalTrans**
\$980 \$11,760		\$1,440	\$460	2	ST	CalSTRS
\$530 \$6,360		\$830	\$300		SS	Secretary of State
	\$3		\$1,840	8	SB	University of CA - SF Medical Center
\$2,450 \$29,400	\$2	\$3,600	\$1,150	5	SA	Student Aid
\$840 \$10,080		\$1,440	\$600	2	PR	Parks & Recreation
\$530 \$6,360		\$830	\$300	1.0	ĭ.	Dept of Mental Health
\$190 \$2,280			\$170	0.5	<b>ا</b> لا	City of Livermore
	,		\$230		F	Industrial Relations
\$190 \$2,280			\$170	0.5	ਸ਼	Industrial Relations
\$9,800 \$117,600	\$9	\$14,400	\$4,600	20	H	Dept of Health Care Services
\$6,860 \$82,320	\$6	\$10,080	\$3,220	14	H	Dept of Health Care Services
\$530 \$6,360		\$830	\$300	1	Ε	Dept of Finance*
\$490 \$5,880		\$720	\$230		田	Dept of Education
\$490 \$5,880		\$720	\$230	_	СВ	Judicial Council
\$190 \$2,280		\$360	\$170	0,5	AR	Air Resources Board
		\$360	\$170	0.5	AB	Alcoholic Beverage Control
ce Difference	Difference	Proposed Rates	<b>Current Rates</b>	Cabinets	Prefix	Customer Name
/ Annual	Monthly	(9)	(9)	# of		

## Notes:

\*Dept of Finance and DTS have a MOU in place for \$300 per month floor space swap. DTS uses DOF space.

and charged COEMS customer owned cabinet rates at \$720 \* 76 cabinets = \$54,720. \*\*CalTrans is currently paying for \$8.20 x 1,512 square feet = \$12,398 per month. They will need to be converted to 76 cabinets

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Child Support Services - SFIS moving outside of DTS. In the interim SFIS equipment will move from the South Annex to the Cannery Raised Floor.

Department of Technology Services Rate Package #4 Fiscal Year 2008/09

Proposed Effective Date: January 2009 and July 1, 2009

Rate Change Detail

**Service Name**: Print Services **Comp Codes**: P101 through P310

Cost Center: 038

### Issue/Reason for Rate Change:

DTS is proposing to increase the rates for Print services. The current under-recovery is caused by outsourced vendor contract costs continuing to increase, while DTS has not adjusted the rates for Print services since 1997. The current vendor contract is for a one year term with an option to renew for one additional year. The purpose for this rate change is to move closer to fully recovering the actual outsourced vendor contract costs (Contract #07E110444) plus the DTS staff support costs, including hardware, hardware maintenance, software, and indirect expenses.

A second important reason for realigning the print rates is that the current print rates being charged at Cannery and at Gold Camp have differed since consolidation and must be corrected to a single DTS print rate.

The DTS plans on moving the print outsource process from a private vendor to a state department, thus, costs are expected to be reduced by an unknown amount. Rates will be recalculated as necessary to the then-current break-even levels.

The DTS considered two options to bring Print rates closer to full cost recovery:

- Option 1: Increase the DTS published rates by 100% effective January 1, 2009. Increase the DTS published rates by an additional range of from 31% to 193% (see Print Proposed Rates Table, next page) to be effective July 1, 2009. The vendor and DTS support costs would be fully recovered when the second rate increase is implemented.
- Option 2: Increase the published DTS print rates by 450% which would immediately have the effect of fully recovering the combined vendor and DTS support costs.

DTS is recommending Option 1 at this time. The increase of 100% to the DTS print rates in each of two phases will help mitigate the customer impact while bringing DTS Print services to break-even cost recovery. The rate changes to be effective July 1, 2009 vary in percentage in order to bring the DTS published rate into closer relative alignment with the outsourcing contractor's rates.

### **Summary/Description of Service Offering:**

The DTS provides customers with services. The printed materials are either printed on customer-provided forms or DTS forms using impact or laser printers and continuous form printers. The printed materials are picked up from the outsourcing vendor via DTS courier service and returned to DTS campuses and then processed through the Chain-of-Custody procedures. If a DTS customer also uses the DTS courier service contract, then DTS will send the customers their print output via the DTS courier. Otherwise, customers may retrieve their print output directly from DTS, by sending their own courier. Print services are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

**PRINT Proposed Rates:** 

Service	Current Rates	Proposed Rates	% Change	Proposed Rates	% Change	Total % Change
		1/1/2009	1/1/2009	7/1/2009	7/1/3009	2008/2010
Black & White	\$0.029/page	\$0.058	100%	\$.14	141%	383%
Print – Contractor	03		¥0			
Supplied Forms				]		
(Continuous Forms)	•		.=		34	il
Black & White Print – Contractor Supplied Forms (Cut Sheet – Xerox)	\$0.029/page	\$0.058	100%	\$.16	176%	452%
Black & White Print – Contractor Supplied Forms (Impact Print)	\$0.029/page	\$0.058	100%	\$.17	193%	486%
Customer- Supplied Forms	\$0.029/page	\$0.058	100%	\$.14	141%	383%
Labels	\$.0964/page	\$0.145	50%	\$.19	31%	212%
Burst & Trim	\$0.00/foot	\$0.03	100%	\$.08	166%	100%

### Rate Methodology Used to Develop Rates:

The rate for this service is based on the Service Rate Methodology. The Service Rate Methodology involves building a service rate based on the estimated costs for the entire service at a given volume level. The following process was used to determine rates using this methodology:

- 1. Billable Unit: Per Page
- 2. Direct cost components: hardware, hardware maintenance, software, direct vendor costs, indirect costs, and DTS staff support.
- 3. Attributes: annual expenses were used to calculate the Print rates divided by 12 to achieve monthly costs.
- 4. Indirect cost components include: Change Management, Help Desk, PC/LAN desktop support, Facility costs and Administrative Overhead. These costs are allocated based on established methodologies.
- 5. The sum of the direct and indirect costs represents the cost to provide the service.
- 6. The billable rates are the monthly costs used as the denominator in the formula below to be able to recover the cost of the service.

RATE per Billable Unit =

7.

<u>Projected volumes</u>
Contract rate plus DTS support costs

Please also note that the FY 07/08 expenses were adjusted downward to correct incorrect staffing allocations that were previously being allocated to this service area.

### **Current Billing Method:**

Customers are currently charged by measured usage for print and forms usage multiplied times the published rate for print and forms usage.

Currently DTS charges print rates of \$.0290 plus an additional charge for forms also Forms rates range from \$0.32 to \$2.79

DTS is proposing to simplify print rates into 6 rates:

- 1) Continuous Forms: Black and White Print Contractor Supplied Forms
- 2) Cut Sheet Xerox: Black and White Print Contractor Supplied Forms
- 3) Impact Print: Black and White Print Contractor Supplied Forms
- 4) Customer Supplied Forms\*
- 5) Labels
- 6) Burst & Trim

### **Customer Impact:**

Please see attached spreadsheet detail by customer.

### Revenue Impact:

These rate changes will increase Print revenues by \$538,846 in FY 2008/09 (6 months' initially increased rates effective January 1, 2009) and \$1,781,609 in FY 2009/2010 (12 months' additional rate increase effective July 1, 2009, and includes the rate increase effective January 1, 2009).

Customer Impacts for Print Services	FY 2008/2009 (6 months)	(6 months)					IMPACT PRINT	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	CONTIN	CONTINUOUS FORM PRINT	PRINT		XEROX PRINT			CUST	CUSTOMER PROVIDED FORMS	DED FORMS		_	
*Used May 08 usage for impact analysis			.066 - Go <i>ld</i> C	amo			\$0.029	\$0.058		\$0.029	29 \$0.0580			\$0 0059			-	\$0.029	\$0.058			
Customer Name	Prefix	Default	0.029 - Cannery Current Pro	Proposed Pates	] *	mpact		•		_ 0		E.	Š	(B)	Proposed		Customer	_	, P	2	Monthly	Annual
15		13,025	\$859.65	\$755.45	\$104.20	16,002	127		2		22	37	4,957	\$29.25	-			~		$\prod$	se	\$7
Arts Council Bureau of Auto Repairs	A A	150	\$9.90	\$8.70	\$1.20				+	14	\$0,41	\$2 \$1							+		\$1.51	
Board of Accountancy	AK	25	\$1.65	\$1.45	-\$0.20					50 \$1	\$1.45	\$6 \$5									\$4.35	
Victims Compensation and Gvt Claims	BC 2	œ u	\$0.23	\$0.46	\$0.23	υ <sub>1</sub>	\$0.145	\$0.290	\$0												\$0.38	\$4.52
Board of Behavioral Sciences	뫄	58	\$3.83	\$3.36	-\$0.46					П											-\$0.46	
Board of Barbering and Cosmetology  Dent of Social Services	공 및	133 582	\$2.64	\$2.32	-\$0.32	5 ca7	*102		T	2				2400 24							\$17.70	2
Dept of Consumer Affairs	S 9	943	\$27.35	\$54.69	\$27.35	3,020	\$88	\$175	\$88	993 \$28.80	3.80 \$119	19 \$13,399	2,304	\$13.59	\$133.63	\$120.04		17.00 \$0.49	\$0.99	\$0.49	\$18,394,55	2 \$3,909.86
Housing & Community Development	CĐ	256	\$16.90	\$14.85	\$2.05					423 \$12.27		П	П									
Child Support Services	3 2	79	\$2.29	\$4.58	\$2.29			-	-												\$2.29	-
SCO  Dept of Corrections & Rehabilitation	38	4 375	\$1.12	\$0.99	-\$35.00	207	6	\$17				$\overline{}$		ราว วูล				+			-\$0.14	
DOF, CalSTARS	SS	160,767	\$4,662.24	\$9,324.49	\$4,662.24	8	\$0.232	\$0.464	\$0 139	139,812 \$4,054.55	1.55 \$16,777	77 \$12,723	253	\$1.49	\$219.82	\$13.18		+			\$17,398,55	
County of Sacramento	S	i co	\$1.19	\$1.04	\$0.14				П					\$0.07							\$1.48	
California Community Colleges	3 8	305	\$20.13	\$17.69	-\$2.44			:	T		T-	1									\$38.15	П
Developmental Services Auth	T 6	/4//51	\$4,933.5/	\$4,335.56	-\$598.01	150	7	\$9	T	51.0	\$3	86	1,063	\$6.27	\$61.65	\$55.38		+			\$5,614.23	\$6
Dept of Education	8	294	\$19.40	\$17.05	\$2.35	254	\$7	\$15	\$7	648 \$18.79		\$78 \$59						1			\$63.98	
EDD	<u>m</u>	171	\$4.96	\$9.92	\$4.96					П											\$23,61	\$283.37
Bd for Registered Professional Engineers Reard of Foundation	5 2	309	\$0.46	\$0.41	\$0.06							\$1 \$1 \$66									\$0.58	
Energy Resources Conservation & Developm	nc ER	25	\$1.65	\$1.45	-\$0.20																\$3.26	
EDD	. E	!		\$0.00	\$0.00																\$2.09	\$25.12
Board of Funeral Directors	2 3	10	\$0.66	\$0.58	\$0.08	15	\$0.435	\$0.870	\$0	+											\$0.19	
Dept of Fish & Game	FG	6	\$0.40	\$0.35	-\$0.05								12	\$0.07	\$0.70	\$0.63					\$0.58	
Ca Medical Assistance	P 프	794	\$3.50	\$3.07	\$0.42				-												-\$0.42	
Dept of General Services	စ (	12	\$0.79	\$0.70	\$0.10					24 \$0											\$2.09	
Dept of General Services	GS	82	\$5.41	\$4.76	-\$0.66			-		164 \$4.76	1.76 \$20	20 \$15									\$14.27	
Dept of General Services	GD S	1,117	\$73.72	\$64.79	\$8.94				2 -	2,229 \$64						e		+			\$193.90	
Dept of General Services	GI	4,898	\$323.27	\$284.08	-\$39.18				Τ		5	$\overline{}$	-								\$841.79	
Highway Patrol	₹ ₹	62,814	\$4,145.72	\$3,643.21	-\$502.51	4,718	\$137	\$274	\$137 85,987	85,987 \$2,493.62	3.62 \$10,318	18 \$7,825	107'6	67.6ce	900.00	74/8.3/					\$7,459.13	\$258,585,61
Dept of Public Health	Ŧ	2,722	\$78.94	\$157.88	\$78.94				Т												\$78.94	
Dept of Industrial Relations	≅	7	\$0.46	\$2.55	\$0.06																-\$0.35	\$14.22
California Lottery	Б	15	\$0.99	\$0.87	-\$0.12					30 \$0.87	).87 \$4	\$4 \$3									\$2.61	
Dept of Mental Health	X X	218	\$0.41	\$0.81	\$0.41				10	10,026 \$290		40	6	\$0.04	\$0.35	\$0.31					\$913.08	
Dept of Motor Vehicles	WW	34	\$2.24	\$1.97	\$0.27					68	\$1.97	\$8									\$5.92	\$70.99
Dept of Motor Vehicles	M2	100	\$1.19	\$1.04	-\$0.14								36	\$0.21	\$2.09	\$1.88					\$1.73	
Dept of Alcohol Drug Programs	3 8	12	\$0.35	\$0.70	\$0.35				1	ş	\$1,7	\$1,3									\$1,341.51	
CalPERS	\$ 9	555	\$36.63	\$32.19	-33.00																-\$3.01	
State Personnel Board	8	158	\$10.43	\$9.16	-\$1.26						4100	4									-\$1.26	-\$15.17
Physical Therapy Examining Comm.	3 79	27	\$1.78	\$1.57	-\$0.22							П									-\$0.22	
Prison Industry Authority	2 2	2 371	\$156.49	\$44.08	-\$6.08				_	320 \$38				2	Τ.	Τ					\$114.04	
Dept of Parks & Recreation	뭐	264	\$17.42	\$15.31	\$2.11					513 \$14.88		\$62 \$47	12.4	92.00	67.6676	\$6.812¢					\$44.57	\$534.85
Board of Equalization	ည္ထ	12	\$0.79	\$0.70	\$0.10					Т						П					\$2.09	
Dept of Rehabilitation	로 d	3.942	\$114.32	\$778 64	\$114.30	72	\$2	\$4.176	\$2 3	3,527 \$102.28	28 \$423	T	30,394	\$179.32	\$1,762.85	\$1,583.53					\$1,884.04	\$23
CalSTRS	ST	355	\$23,43	\$20.59	\$2.84					П		\$77 \$58	36	\$0.21	\$2.09	\$1.88					\$57.18	
Dept of Transportation	5 73	72,578	\$4,790,15	\$4,209.52	-\$580.62	12,652	\$367	\$734	\$367 123,109	\$3.5	\$14	\$11									\$10,989.20	\$13
Humboldt State	Ē 8			\$0.00	\$0.00			+													\$10.47	
San Jose State	⊆ 9			\$0.00	\$0.00					867 \$25.14		104 \$79	,								\$7.74	\$92.82
Stanislaus State	٤			\$0.00	\$0.00							П									\$0.82	
Veterans Affairs	\$ 5	Ď	\$0.66	\$0.00	\$0.00					\$1		2 5									\$3.73	
Child Support Services - CASES	*	98	\$2.84	\$5.68	\$2.84																\$2.65	\$31.80
			\$31,499.18	\$44,946.29			\$4,051.85	\$8,104		\$21,802.93	.93 \$90,219	19		\$440.33	\$4,328.71			\$4.22	2 \$8.43		\$89,807.63	- 1
Current Monthly Revenue	\$57,799																					
Additional Monthly Revenue	\$89,808		33																			
Additional Annual Revenue	\$1,077,692	6 months=	\$538,846																			
New Annual Revenue	\$1,771,274																					

Control   Cont	"Used May (IX impacts for impact analysis	FT 2009/2010 - 12 months	- 12 monus			_		III ACT FINITE															
Comparison   Com					; ; ;	\$0.00			\$0.17		\$0.058				\$0.05		16		\$6		\$0.14	_	
Column   C	Customer Name	D Silv	Default	Current	Proposed Rates	<b>1</b>		Current P					,		(8								
Column   C		AB	13,025	\$755.45	\$1,823.50	\$1,068.05	×	1	8		П.	ं				П			.42	Ħ.	98	0.53	,401.92 \$40,823.
No. 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	Council	AC	8	\$0.46	\$1.12	\$0.66		-										H					\$1.80
14.   1.     1.	eau of Auto Repairs -d of Accountancy	A A	150 25	\$8.70	\$21.00 \$3.50	\$12.30 \$2.05	_	+	-	+								-		-	1	-	\$12.30
No. 10.20   Column	au of State Audits	2	5	\$0.29	\$0.70	\$0.41		-	+	+							+	+	+	+	+	+	\$0.41
	ms Compensation and Gvt Claims	80 2	00	\$0.46	\$1.12	\$0.66		\$0.290	\$0.850	2				ō				1	+	+	-	+	\$1.22
1879	rd of Behavioral Sciences	뫔	58	\$3.36	\$8.12	\$4.76																	\$4.76
	rd of Barbering and Cosmetology	BR.	40	\$2.32	\$5.60	\$3.28		-				1											
	t of Social Services	2 8	133,582	\$7,747.76	\$18,701.48	\$10,953.72	5,687	\$330								40	5	.52		-			,537.27 \$306,447.29
	sing & Community Development	8 \$	256	\$14.85	\$35.84	\$20.99	2,020	6716	П	3330								,01		\$0.99	\$2.38		\$55.68
Column   C	d Support Services	S	79	\$4.58	\$11.06			$\prod$			П												\$6.48
The column	) - f ) - wantions # Dahahilitation	3 8	11	\$0.99	\$2.38		207	• 47	***	3 3	Т							1	-	+	+	-	
Columb   C	CalSTARS	8 9	160.767	\$9.324.49	\$22.507.38	69		\$0.464	\$1	T			2	L				91 00	+	+	+	524	674 18 \$296 090 16
The column	nly of Sacramento	CG	18	\$1.04	\$2.52													.22			-	1	- 1
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	fornia Community Colleges	S	305	\$17.69	\$42.70					\$0													
The column	elopmental Services	DS	74,751	\$4,335.56	\$10,465.14	\$6,1	150	\$9	\$26	Π		Γ	42					.43				\$11.	798.83 \$141,585.94
Columbia	rigency Medical Services Auth	E	!	\$0.00	\$0.00		-			S													\$13.78
	t of Education		294	\$17.05	\$41.16		254	515	\$43	\$28			Т									5	105.69
Charles   100   100   110	or Registered Professional Engineers		7	\$0.41	\$0.98															+			\$1.15
Chamber   Cham	rd of Equalization	EQ	309	\$17.92	\$43.26																		\$76.01
	gy Resources Conservation & Develo	op EX	25	\$1.45	\$3.50	\$2.05		-		+	T									-	-		\$5.17
The color   The	d and Agriculture	FA	24	\$1.39	\$3.36	\$1.97													1	+	+		\$1.97
The color of the	rd of Funeral Directors	FD	10	\$0.58	\$1.40		1	\$0.870	S	\$2													\$2.50
Column   C	t of Finance	n F		\$0.35	\$0.84			-										22	-				\$1.72
Column   C	Medical Assistance	<u>e</u> :	784	\$45.47	\$109.76				+	+	540.5									1		_	34.35
Column   C	t of General Services	<u>6</u>	12	\$0.70	\$1.68																		\$2.95
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	of General Services	G G	82	\$4.76	\$11.48	\$6.72				+	Т									-			\$20.17
Col.	t of General Services	G :	1,117	\$64.79	\$156.38	\$91.59												+	+	1			274.37
Pro	t of General Services	G1	4,898	\$284.08	\$685.72	\$401.64		1				T	55 \$794			T						\$1	195.48
H	way Patrol	₹ 2	62 814	\$3,643.21	\$8 793.96	\$5 150.75		- 1		Π.						Т		.50		(*)		\$40	
In	t of Public Health	Ξ.	2,722	\$157.88	\$381.08	\$223.20												+		-		716	223 20 \$2,751.18
Re	t of Industrial Relations	п		\$2.55	\$6.16	\$3.61																	\$3.61
MAY	t of Industrial Relations	70	7	\$0.41	\$0.98	\$0.57																	\$1.72
May   1, 10	fornia Lottery	5	15	\$0.87	\$2.10	\$1.23		-		_		T								-			\$3.69
MAY	of Motor Vehicles	N 3	218	\$12.64	\$30.52	\$17.88		-										.61				5	823.89
M2	t of Motor Vehicles	WW	34	\$1.97	\$4.76	\$2.79															-		\$8.36
CA	t of Motor Vehicles	M2	18	\$1.04	\$2.52	\$1.48				-								.67					\$5.15
Property	t of Alcohol Drug Programs	Q OA	12	\$0.70	\$1.68	\$0.98		-		_			5						-			\$1	209.50
PB   165   59.76   52.712   511.965   59.76   52.212   511.965   510.6   51.202   510.5   510.5   510.5   510.6   510.5   51	ERS	2 0	555	\$32.19	\$77.70	\$45.51				-		i									1		\$36.98
Spictomm         PF         27         51.57         51.72         51.72         52.21         1.20         1.70         575.05         51.80         522.21         1.20         575.05         51.85         51	y Personnel Board	PB :	158	\$9.16	\$22.12	\$12.96				-									+	1	+		\$12.96
PG   760   544.08   5105.40   582.32   5105.50   5105.	ical Therapy Examining Comm.	PF	27	\$1.57	\$3.78	\$2.21																	\$2.21
Pr   2,317   \$13,122   \$33,194   \$19,472   \$33,194   \$19,472   \$33,194   \$19,472   \$33,194   \$19,472   \$33,194   \$39,194   \$	rd of Parole Hearings	PG	760	\$44.08	\$106.40	\$62.32				-												s	170.56
The color   The	of Parks & Recreation	8 2	2,371	\$137.52	\$331.94	\$194.42												.52		-	-	5	667.57
Jaid         RB         2,816         \$163.33         \$194.24         \$230.91         72         \$4         \$17         \$4,04         \$220.91         \$20.051         \$20.457         \$440.45         \$228.9         30,394         \$1,762.85         \$4,863.04         \$3,100.19         \$4         \$20.00         \$4,863.04         \$3,100.19         \$4,003.01	d of Equalization	S .	12	\$0.70	\$1.68	\$0.98		-		-												-	\$2 95
RH 394 \$228.64 \$251.68 \$232.44	ornia Horse Racing Board	RB	2,816	\$163.33	\$394.24	\$230.91	72	\$4	\$12					П				.19			_	\$3	628.38
ST	t of Rehabilitation	ヱ	3,942	\$228.64	\$551.88	\$323.24									*	Г						S	637.80
17	of Transportation	ST	355	\$20.59	\$49.70	\$29.11	5		_		,							.67					
UH	ublic Utilities Commission	5	12,510	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	700,21	97.70		T.												\$17,	463.36 \$209,560.30
U.J   \$0.00	boldt State	CH		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00													92				\$6.97
March   Marc	Jose State	⊆		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00																*	\$71.09
VA   10   \$0.56   \$1.40   \$0.02     41   \$2.36   \$3	islaus State	£ 5		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				<u> </u>					-			-					\$0.74
ASES V4 98 \$5.66 \$13.72 \$3.04 \$3.54.75 \$8,104 \$23,752 \$43,606 \$105,256 \$74,633 \$4,329 \$11,941.28 \$8 \$20.36 \$146,0 \$10,993 \$1,271,916 \$1,271,916 \$146,467 \$146,467 \$145,469 \$1.781,609	rans Affairs	\$ 5	10	\$0.58	\$1.40	\$0.82		+		-											-	19	\$3.36
\$ \$100,993 \$44,946.29 \$108,491.04 \$83,544.75 \$8,104 \$22,752 \$41,806 \$105,256 \$74,633 \$4,329 \$11,941.28 \$5 \$20,36 \$148,000 \$1,271,916 \$100,993 \$1,271,916 \$148,467 \$14	Support Services - CASES	V4	98	\$5.68	\$13.72	\$8.04															1		
nue				\$44,946.29	\$108,491.04	\$63,544.75			\$23,752		\$43,60		ěi	\$74,633			28				20.36	\$148,	467.42 \$1,781,609.05
nue	ent Monthly Revenue	\$100,993																					
	tional Monthly Revenue	\$148,467																					
	itional Annual Revenue	\$1,781,609																					



## Statewide Email Service E-Fax Feature Business Plan

November 2008

### **Table of Contents**

OVERVIEW		3
BUSINESS DRIVERS		3
BUSINESS AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS		
IMPLEMENTATION PLAN		
RATE CALCULATION		
	***************************************	v

### Overview

Over the last 20 years, email has become the preferred channel of communication for state agencies and has evolved into a mission critical tool for government. Today, email is the core of communication and collaboration. As a result, the basic business requirements for email systems in terms of availability, security and remote and mobile access have become somewhat standardized. However, emerging requirements on the use of email systems such as disaster recovery, email faxination (e-fax), encryption of certain messages, litigation holds and archiving for document retention and new e-discovery laws, are requiring agencies to reexamine and redesign their existing email solutions.

For years DTS has had e-fax capabilities for DTS employees only. As interest in CA.mail continues to grow, additional service capabilities are required and requested by current and prospective customers. E-fax allows for (1) the sending of documents through email directly to a fax machine, and (2) the receipt of a faxed document in the recipient's mailbox.

### **Business Drivers**

The key business drivers for an e-fax solution are derived primarily from current and potential customer requests for this capability. In addition, in each scenario where e-fax capabilities can be used, it contributes to the State's and the DTS' desire to reduce the use of consumable resources (electricity and paper). Listed below are key business drivers and a discussion of each.

	Email E-Fax
Title of Driver	Customer Demand
Business Driver Type	Service
Description	In meeting with prospective CA.mail customers, the CA.mail service team has learned that some of these customers currently employ e-fax capabilities in their current email environments. Some of these customers have stated that they would require the DTS to implement e-fax capability in order for them to subscribe to the service.
Source	Customers
Citation	N/A
Impact	Low – Technology already deployed at the DTS
Current Efforts	The DTS already has the infrastructure deployed to utilize this capability internally. Minimal work would be needed to offer this enhancement to customers.

Why are current efforts insufficient?	E-fax is not currently offered.	
Other potential solutions	The DTS currently uses the RightFax software to satisfy this need. There are other e-fax products on the market. Evaluating and implementing other solutions would take considerable time and retraining of staff.	
Title of Driver	Reduction of consumable resources.	
Business Driver Type	Environmental	
Description	There are two scenarios that e-fax can be used.	
	<ol> <li>The sending of online documents from the senders email system to a fax machine.</li> <li>The receipt of a fax in the recipient's mailbox from a fax machine.</li> </ol>	
	In both scenarios, the use of electricity is reduced because only one fax machine is used instead of two fax machines in the typical fax scenario.	
	In both scenarios, paper is saved because the recipient gets an electronic copy of the fax instead of a printed copy off a fax machine, and the need to print a copy of a document and then fax it is also eliminated.	
Source	State	
Citation	The DTS Strategic Plan, Goal 6.	
Impact	Low – The reduction of electricity and paper would be minimal.	
Current Efforts	The DTS already has the infrastructure deployed to utilize this capability internally. Minimal work would be needed to offer this enhancement to customers.	
Why are current efforts insufficient?	E-fax is not currently offered.	
Other potential solutions	The DTS currently uses the RightFax software to satisfy this need. There are other e-fax products on the market. Evaluating and implementing other solutions would take considerable time and retraining of staff.	

### **Business and Technical Requirements**

Business and functional requirements for e-fax are listed in the sections that follow.

### **Business Objectives and Requirements**

The key business objectives and requirements of the system echo those of the Statewide Email system itself:

### Provide a feature-rich statewide email offering that:

- Provide capabilities within statewide email that provide value to customers.
- Provide capabilities that will be used by multiple customers.

### **Reduce Cost and Complexity to:**

- Reduce the number of state email systems.
- Increase the standardization of email application/version implementations supported by the State.
- Reduce the number of servers used to provide the state's email functionality.
- Reduce the staff resources required to support the state's email functionality.
- Reduce the number of independent efforts to develop new email features.

### Increase Business Value to End User to:

- Increase email features and service levels available to state employees.
- Increase the number of mailboxes available in an enterprise directory.
- Increase the number of users that share calendaring functionality.
- Increase the availability of Service Desk for email to state employees.
- Increase availability of email services state employees.

### **Customer Requirements:**

The lack of an e-fax solution for the CA.mail service is a barrier to Customer Adoption. The following existing and potential CA.mail customers have indicated a requirement for email e-fax:

<u>Organization</u>	Number of mailboxes
Office of Systems Integration	600
Department of Consumer Affairs	3,000
Employment Development Department	9,200
Department of General Services	4,500

### **Technical Requirements**

The e-fax system is already employed within the CA.mail infrastructure.

### The e-fax product should:

- Allow the sending of online documents from the senders email system to a fax machine.
- Allow the receipt of a fax in the recipient's mailbox from a fax machine.

- Support customizable cover sheets for each department.
- Provide extensive built-in reporting, including:
  - o Account Usage Report
  - o Message History Report
  - o Retry History Report
  - o Response Log Report
  - o Audit History Report
  - o Tracking Report
- Provide extensive logging and message search/tracking facilities.
- Not require additional client software in order to send/receive faxes.
- Support multiple customers.
- Integrate with the current CA.mail infrastructure.

### **Implementation Plan**

The e-fax solution is already in place. As customer demand increase, additional infrastructure will be added to meet demand.

### **Rate Calculation**

This service will be offered at actual cost of standing up the service for a customer. The costs have not been determined and will be determined on a case by case basis.



## Statewide Email Service Encryption Feature Business Plan

November 2008

### Overview

Over the last 20 years, email has become the preferred channel of communication for state agencies and has evolved into a mission critical tool for government. Today, email is the core of communication and collaboration. As a result, the basic business requirements for email systems in terms of availability, security and remote and mobile access have become somewhat standardized. However, emerging requirements on the use of email systems such as disaster recovery, encryption of certain messages, litigation holds and archiving for document retention and new e-discovery laws, are requiring agencies to reexamine and redesign their existing email solutions.

Recent changes in constituent sentiment regarding protection of health care and personally identifiable information have resulted in both Federal and State legislation requiring its protection. Email that travels over the Internet to constituents and other agencies in the course of day-to-day business operations is unprotected. This use of un-protected communication leaves the State potentially open to administrative and legal actions due to the unintentional release of protected information.

Implementation of an encrypted email solution will allow the State to protect this confidential information. By providing an ability for agencies and departments to encrypt email, agencies will have an avenue to help protect confidential and sensitive information. Alternatively, without an ability to protect sensitive information transmitted via email, users of the Statewide Email system may find their business operations impacted and costs increased due to resorting to manual communication methods.

### **Business Drivers**

The key business drivers for an email encryption solution are derived primarily from the need to protect private information. These drivers emanate from both legislative and administrative sources. Listed below are key business drivers and a discussion of each.

	Email Encryption
Title of Driver	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Requires Secured Email
Business Driver Type	Legislative
Description	The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA, Title II) required the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to establish national standards for electronic health care transactions and national identifiers for providers, health plans, and employers. It also addressed the security and privacy of health data. After HIPAA passed, legislation appointed the Workgroup for Electronic Data Interchange (WEDI) to define the specific requirement for securing electronic data.
	The following is the specific requirements of the Security Rule regarding the

	use of encryption for email messaging.
	45 CFR 164.312 (e) (1) Standard: Transmission Security. Implement technical security measures to guard against unauthorized access to electronic protected health information that is being transmitted over an electronic communications network. (2) Implementation specifications: (ii) Encryption (Addressable). Implement a mechanism to encrypt electronic protected health information whenever deemed appropriate.
	The principal confidentiality controls for electronic mail are message encryption and recipient authentication. By definition, message encryption involves application of an algorithm and a small amount of secret information, a message key, to message contents.
Source	Federal – Act finalized in 2003 imposing secure email for health data
Citation	Workgroup for Electronic Data Interchange. Industry Update – Secure White Paper. January 2004. Available at: http://www.hhic.org/hipaa/documents/S-418_Final-Email-WEDISNIPWhitepaper.pdf (last access 02/08/07).
Impact	High – Compliance Issue
Current Efforts	Organizations across the State are evaluating secure email solutions for future implementation. DTS is currently focusing efforts on designing a secure email solution to offer customers.
Why are current efforts insufficient?	Encryption and digital signatures are not offered by DTS today. It is unknown, if or how other organizations are employing security for health related data.
Other potential solutions	Exchange 2003 can provide for secure email through a combination of features. Digital signatures address authentication and denial issues and message encryption addresses confidentiality issues. Because each addresses different issues, a message security strategy requires both at the same time. These two services are designed to be used in conjunction with one another, because each separately addresses one side of the sender-recipient relationship. Digital signatures address security issues related to senders, and encryption addresses security issues primarily related to recipients. When digital signatures and message encryption are used together HIPAA compliance can be met.  Dozens of commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) solutions exist that are designed
Title of Driver	for Exchange.  Classification of Information
Business Driver Type	Administrative
Description	Sensitive Information and Personal Information, as defined below, may occur in Public Information and/or Confidential Information. Files and databases containing sensitive and/or personal information require special precautions to prevent inappropriate disclosure. When sensitive or personal information is

BASICA CARCANIA CANALANA DA CANALANA DA CANALANA	
	contained in public records, care must be taken to protect it from inappropriate disclosure.
	While the need for the agency to protect data from inappropriate disclosure is important, so is the need for the agency to take necessary action to preserve the integrity of the data. Agencies must develop and implement procedures for access, handling, and maintenance of personal and sensitive information.
	Sensitive Information - information maintained by state agencies that requires special precautions to protect from unauthorized use, access, disclosure, modification, loss, or deletion. Sensitive information may be either public or confidential. It is information that requires a higher than normal assurance of accuracy and completeness. Thus the key factor for sensitive information is that of integrity. Typically, sensitive information includes records of agency financial transactions and regulatory actions. □
	<b>Personal Information</b> - information that identifies or describes an individual as defined in, but not limited by, the statutes listed below. This information must be protected from inappropriate access, use, or disclosure and must be made accessible to data subjects upon request. □
	Notice-triggering personal information - specific items or personal information (name plus Social Security Number, driver's license/California identification card number, or financial account number) that may trigger a requirement to notify individuals if it is acquired by an unauthorized person. See Civil Code Sections 1798.29 and 1798.3.□
	Protected Health Information - individually identifiable information created, received, or maintained by such organizations as health care payers, health care providers, health plans, and contractors to these entities, in electronic or physical form. State laws require special precautions to protect from unauthorized use, access or disclosure. See Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Civil Code Section 56 et seq. and the Patients' Access to Health Records Act, Health and Safety Code Sections 123100-123149.5. □
Source	State
Citation	State Administrative Manual - Chapter 5300 - http://sam.dgs.ca.gov/TOC/5300/5320.5.htm
Impact	High – Multiple compliance requirements at the Federal and State levels for protection of private information
Current Efforts	Organizations across the State are evaluating secure email solutions for future implementation. DTS is currently focusing efforts on designing a secure email solution to offer customers.
Why are current efforts	Encryption and digital signatures are not offered by DTS today. It is unknown, if or how other organizations are employing security for health
	. #

insufficient?	related data.
Other potential solutions	Exchange 2003 can provide for secure email through a combination of features. Digital signatures address authentication and denial issues and message encryption addresses confidentiality issues. Because each addresses different issues, a message security strategy requires both at the same time. These two services are designed to be used in conjunction with one another, because each separately addresses one side of the sender-recipient relationship. Digital signatures address security issues related to senders, and encryption addresses security issues primarily related to recipients. When digital signatures and message encryption are used together HIPAA compliance can be met.
	Dozens of commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) solutions exist that are designed for Exchange.

### **Business and Technical Requirements**

Business and functional requirements for encryption are listed in the sections that follow.

### **Business Objectives and Requirements**

The key business objectives and requirements of the system echo those of the Statewide Email system itself:

### Provide a secure and reliable statewide email offering that:

- Enables agencies and departments to comply with legislative and administrative requirements when communicating via email, and
- Allows only the verified and authorized recipient of the e-mail to read it. Couriers
  and intermediaries may only see the delivery address, but not the contents of the
  email.

### Reduce Cost and Complexity to:

- Reduce the number of state email systems.
- Increase the standardization of email application/version implementations supported by the State.
- Reduce the number of servers used to provide the state's email functionality.
- Reduce the staff resources required to support the state's email functionality.
- Reduce the number of independent efforts to develop new email features.

### Increase Business Value to End User to:

- Increase email features and service levels available to state employees.
- Increase the number of mailboxes available in an enterprise directory.
- Increase the number of users that share calendaring functionality.

- Increase the availability of Service Desk for email to state employees.
- Increase availability of email services state employees.

### **Customer Requirements:**

The lack of an encryption solution for the CA.mail service is a barrier to Customer Adoption. The following existing and potential CA.mail customers have indicated a requirement for email encryption:

Organization	Number of mailboxes
Child Support Services	500
Department of Justice	6,000
Employment Development Department	9,200
Department of Mental Health	5,000
Department of Public Health	4,500
Department of General Services	4,500
Department of Motor Vehicles	6,000

### **Technical Requirements**

The encryption system should integrate as a standard SMTP compliant Mail Transfer Agent (MTA) into the DTS environment.

The encryption product should:

- Support subject line tagging.
- Support the transmission of secure messages that are created by automated, batch processes
- Support the encryption methods of: S/MIME and OpenPGP.
- Support multiple encryption algorithms including ARC4 and AES.
- Support re-branding by allowing the creation of multiple envelope templates.
- Provide extensive built-in reporting, including:
  - Account Usage Report
  - o Message History Report
  - o Retry History Report
  - o Response Log Report
  - o Audit History Report
  - Tracking Report
- Provide extensive logging and message search/tracking facilities.
- Not require additional client software in order to encrypt/decrypt messages:
- Support both push and pull encryption mechanisms.
- Support widely use web browsers including:
  - o Microsoft® Internet Explorer 5.5 with Service Pack 1 or higher
  - o Netscape Version 7 or higher
  - o Firefox 1.0-1.5
  - o Safari 2.0.x
- Have an administration interface that provides the ability to lock and expire encryption keys.
- Have an administration GUI that is accessible through HTTPS.
- Have a search facility that supports ad hoc queries.

- Support content filtering.
- Support regular expression matching.
- Support inbound and outbound routing to multiple relay hosts.
- Process and encrypt email based upon subject, message source and destination, other email headers, and email attachments.
- Provide monitoring and/or notification capabilities.
- Integrate with existing data contained within an LDAP directory store.
- Support the use of external database systems for storage of credentials.
- Provide extensive, configurable message tracking and logging capabilities
- Provide access control for a business group that can be delegated to the group representative(s) using an ACL-controlled account/group/user structure
- Provide message routing or policy that can be managed at the group level, with routing, encryption and delivery decisions made based on sending domain, sending user or user attributes located in directories or databases.
- Support non-US character sets.

### **Implementation Plan**

Implementation of the encryption solution should take approximately 60 days and will include the following high level phases:

- Evaluation Formal evaluation of the selected product and integration testing
- Pilot Pilot project involving an SWE email user.
- Procurement Acquisition of the hardware and software for the solution.
- Production Implementation Implementation of the proposed system.

Specific technical implementation details are listed below:

Phase/Activity	Deliverable
Preparation Phase	1
Review High Level design	
2. Prepare license and branding files	
3. Coordinate logistics	
4. Conduct Project Kick-off meeting	
Core Installation Phase	
Develop low level design	Low Level Design Document
2. Create Database	
3. Core software installation	
4. Test Core software installation	
5. Install SSL	Core System Installed
Custom Installation Phase	
Define policy matchers	
2. Configure applications	
3. Define custom lookups	
4. Perform basic testing	
5. Configure other processing rules	
6. Perform processing test	Customer Policies Installed
Configure Branding Phase	
<ol> <li>Configure enrollment notification</li> </ol>	,
2. Configure secure envelope Greeting	
3. Configure envelope user interface	8
4. Configure message bar	
<ol><li>Configure enrollment page</li></ol>	
6. Configure secure reply	
7. Configure other pages as needed	DTS Custom Branding installed
Test Planning and Execution Phase	
1. Create test plan	System Test Plan

2.	Execute test plan	
3.	Adjust configuration and branding	Installed System ready for Pilot Phase
Pilot F	Phase	
1.	Pilot customer training	
2.	Pilot customer test	
Produ	ction Phase	
1.	Configure branding for each production customer (if needed)	
2.	Notify and train customers	
Traini	ng and Turnover Phase	* 4
1.		Finalized Project Documents
2.	Deliver training to DTS staff on installed system	
3.	Backup existing configurations	
4.	Conduct Project closeout meeting	8

### **Rate Calculation**

This service will be at actual cost of standing up the service. Costs have not been determined and will be determined on a case by case basis.

Most encryption solutions don't require any client software. Some have Outlook "plug-ins" available, but typically they're not required. Most solutions work in two ways. When a user sends an email to a recipient (the recipient is someone outside of the email environment - so it wouldn't be me to you, it would be me to someone at Microsoft as an example), the email sender will put a tag in the subject line (something like ENCRYPT:), and the server software or appliance will know to encrypt the message and send it to the recipient. The other way it can work is it can do content inspection and if it finds something in the email that meets the criteria, it will encrypt it and then send it out. It may look for things like SSN, credit card number, etc. All of the encryption is done on an encryption server or appliance, not on the workstation.

As I said there are some solutions that have Outlook plug-ins that may put a button in Outlook that will tell the encryption server or appliance to encrypt the message.

From a recipient perspective, all of the recipients will be outside of CA.mail, so there is nothing that we can do to make them install software. When they receive an encrypted email, they will be directed to a website to access the email. No software to install.